Attachment A

THIS IS A DRAFT COPY <u>ONLY</u> AND IS NOT AN OFFICIAL COPY OF THE FINAL, ADOPTED ORDINANCE

ORDINANCE S-

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. S-42626 ADOPTING THE FINAL 2016-2017 REAPPROPRIATION BUDGET FOR ITEMS OF EXPENDITURE PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED AS PART OF THE OPERATING AND CAPITAL FUND BUDGETS OF THE CITY OF PHOENIX BUT REMAINING AS UNEXPENDED AS OF JUNE 30, 2016, BY REALLOCATING CERTAIN EXPENDITURES AND REAPPROPRIATIONS.

_____

WHEREAS, during the fiscal year 2016-2017, the resources in certain funds will be more than originally anticipated in the 2016-2017 budget; and

WHEREAS, further reallocations of certain expenditures from available funds are required to ensure the continuing operation of the City of Phoenix and the payment of necessary expenses;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PHOENIX as follows:

SECTION 1. That pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. S-42626, the City Manager is hereby authorized and empowered to allocate, and there is hereby appropriated and authorized to be expended, from other lawfully available funds of the

City of Phoenix, the following sums to be included in the reappropriations of the

following listed funds by increasing or decreasing the amount previously reappropriated

from said funds as follows:

(a)   Reallocating and increasing the reappropriation for General Fund

Community Enrichment Funds from $768,000 to $1,193,000;

(b)   Reallocating and decreasing the reappropriation for General Fund

Transportation Funds from $6,579,000 to $6,154,000;

(c)   Reallocating and increasing the reappropriation for Regional Transit

Authority Funds from $25,789,000 to $27,914,000;

(d)   Reallocating and decreasing the reappropriation for Transit 2000

Funds from $93,115,000 to $90,990,000;

(e)   Leaving the total for the 2016-2017 reappropriation budget

unchanged at $1,396,609,000.

PASSED by the Council of the City of Phoenix this 21st day of June 2017.

_____

M A Y O R

ATTEST:

_____, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____, Acting City Attorney

REVIEWED BY:

_____, City Manager